

## **The Power of Collaboration: Learning English through Activities and Peer Feedback**

Syahmina<sup>1)</sup>

<sup>1)</sup>Universitas Negeri Padang

Corresponding Author, email: syahmina.tgs@gmail.com

Received: October 20, 2024, Revised: November 1, 2024, Accepted: November 5, 2024

### **Abstract**

This article explores how collaborative learning changes the course of English language acquisition through group activities and peer feedback. In the context of emerging educational paradigms, which have steadily shifted from teacher-centred methods to more student-centred approaches, collaborative learning appears to be one such dynamic framework that fosters interaction, communication, and critical thinking among learners. The role of group activities in language learning aligns closely with Vygotsky's sociocultural theory, as these activities enable students to practice and internalize new language forms within interactive social settings. The article elaborates on several advantages of collaborative learning: enhanced language skills, increased motivation and improved interpersonal skills. Some of the problem areas that usually present themselves as tricky around collaborative learning are those to do with unequal participation and different proficiency levels. The strategic solutions, educators can constructively foster a collaborative classroom that then enables students and prepares them for real-world communication. introducing collaborative practices into the learning of the English language would therefore enhance not only a better educative experience but also one of community and shared growth among learners.

**Keywords:** Collaborative learning, group activities, peer feedback, student engagement, educational strategies

### **1. Introduction**

Learning English as a second language (ESL) has evolved significantly over the years, moving from traditional teacher-centered methods to more dynamic, student-focused approaches. This approach according to the article is collaborative learning that seeks more interaction, cooperation and communication among peers. This is because it provides students an opportunity to immerse in group activities and peer feedback, which are powerful when done properly — not only does this help create a more supportive learning environment but also forces students into real-world interactions with the target language. This article examines how working in groups and getting feedback from peers can take English language learning to the next level, setting a foundation for essential communication skills, boosting confidence, and fostering critical thinking.

Research has shown that collaboration enriches the learning experience and promotes essential soft skills, such as teamwork, communication, and critical thinking. By engaging in group activities, learners benefit from diverse perspectives and shared insights, enabling them to navigate the complexities of language use more effectively. Moreover, peer feedback creates opportunities for learners to reflect on their progress and receive constructive criticism non-threateningly. This article explores Group Work and Peer Feedback in English Language Learning English language learners can tap the power of collaboration in group activities, peer feedback, and how to combine both into effective classroom teaching strategies.

Central to this approach is Vygotsky's sociocultural theory, which asserts that cognitive development is deeply rooted in social interactions. According to Vygotsky's sociocultural theory, learning is a social process that is most effective when individuals interact with others within their learning environment (Vygotsky, 1978). Group activities provide students with opportunities to practice English in authentic conversations, promoting deeper language understanding through shared experiences. In addition, peer feedback allows learners to reflect on their own performance while

receiving constructive input from others, thus contributing to language development through continuous improvement and revision (Liu & Hansen, 2002).

Collaborative learning promotes Vygotsky's ideals through group activities and peer feedback sessions that encourage interaction and knowledge co-construction among learners. This method enhances both language proficiency and crucial social competencies, like teamwork and communication in settings. Liu & Hansen (2002) also emphasize the value of peer feedback, in empowering learners to engage in their educational journey. Their study shows that receiving feedback from peers promotes self-assessment and analytical thinking while fostering a connection with the language, thereby enhancing overall language proficiency.

This article aims to explore the power of collaboration in English language learning through the lens of Vygotsky's sociocultural theory and the findings of Liu & Hansen. It will explore how group tasks and feedback from peers contribute to creating a dynamic learning environment that supports language development and fosters interpersonal relationships among learners. Through an examination of existing literature and blending theoretical perspectives the aim of this study is to shed light on how collaborative approaches influence English language acquisition and offer practical guidance, for educators aiming to improve their teaching methods.

## 2. Methods

This study used a study literature method to analyze the role of collaboration in English language learning, focusing on group activities and peer feedback. This method involves synthesizing findings from seminal works by Vygotsky, Liu, and Hansen to create a theoretical basis for understanding the benefits of group activities and peer feedback in language acquisition. Through a careful examination of key sources, the method highlights foundational theories, key concepts, and empirical findings that demonstrate the effectiveness of group activities and peer feedback in English language learning.

## 4. Results and Discussion

### *Group Activities as Stimuli for Language Development*

The role of group activities in language learning aligns closely with Vygotsky's sociocultural theory, these activities facilitate the practice and assimilation of new language structures in interactive social environments. Various collaborative tasks like discussions, debates, and joint projects create a space where learners continuously interact with language. This correspondence with the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) permits students to tackle tasks that might be difficult for them individually, with the assistance of their peers. Within these cooperative contexts, students can exchange ideas, resolve ambiguities, and implement grammar in authentic situations.

Through the literature, it becomes clear that these group-based interactions offer specific benefits for ESL learners:

1. **Increased Engagement and Motivation:** Working with peers generates a sense of community, which increases motivation and the desire to communicate. Students feel less isolated and become more open to linguistic experimentation, crucial for enhancing fluency.
2. **Exposure to Diverse Perspectives and Vocabulary:** Group activities expose learners to a variety of viewpoints and language patterns, enriching their vocabulary and language use. Each participant contributes distinct linguistic expertise, providing peers with valuable learning opportunities.
3. **Cognitive Benefits of Task-Based Learning:** Task-based group activities, such as problem-solving exercises or role-plays, encourage learners to apply critical thinking skills and focus on the meaning involvement facilitates deeper cognitive processing, enhance retention and comprehension of language constructs (Liu & Hansen, 2005).

By fostering these interactions, teachers create an enriched learning environment where students are encouraged to communicate confidently, strengthening both their linguistic and cognitive abilities. Group activities thus serve as a dynamic foundation for collaborative language development.

### *Peer Feedback as an Expansion of Scaffolding*

Peer feedback is particularly beneficial in second-language writing classrooms, as demonstrated in Liu and Hansen's research. The concept of scaffolding a key aspect of Vygotsky's theory plays out effectively in peer feedback, where students take on roles as both learners and supporters. By reviewing and commenting on each other's work, students actively participate in each other's development, providing assistance that helps peers work within their ZPD and gradually progress toward greater independence in language use.

Specific findings from Liu and Hansen's research on peer feedback reveal several benefits:

- 1) **Improved Critical Thinking and Self-Awareness:** Providing feedback requires students to appraise language structures critically and then articulate their suggestions. This reflective process itself not only helps them enhance their analytical skills but is also of great help to them in constructing a more aware sense of their own language capabilities.
- 2) **Enhanced Language Accuracy and Structure:** When students receive constructive peer feedback, they can identify specific areas for improvement, such as grammar, sentence structure, or vocabulary use. Revisions based on peer feedback have been shown to improve accuracy and coherence in writing tasks, promoting more precise language use.
- 3) **Increased Confidence and Ownership of Learning:** Through the processes involved in a peer response session, students become increasingly comfortable with their language skills. Such confidence is very critical for language learners who at times suffer from so much self-doubt or even fear of making mistakes. Constructive feedback, when delivered supportively, encourages students to take ownership of their progress and persist in their language practice.

Furthermore, Liu and Hansen highlight the importance of structured peer feedback sessions, emphasizing that these interactions are most effective when students understand the objectives and are trained to provide constructive and respectful responses. With such structuring, peer feedback becomes a strong mechanism for language learning and transforms the learner from an inactive recipient to an active participant in his or her learning process.

### *Interconnection between Group Activities and Peer Feedback in Language Development*

The combination of group activities and peer feedback provides a dual pathway for language acquisition, creating a more robust environment for language practice. The literature highlights that each method supports different yet complementary aspects of language development:

1. **Group activities** engage students in the spontaneous and practical use of language, fostering fluency, listening skills, and adaptability to real-life conversational demands.
2. **Peer feedback** strengthens language accuracy, critical thinking, and reflection, offering structured opportunities for learners to refine their language output.

This interconnection is especially significant in ESL classrooms, where both fluency and accuracy are crucial. For instance, a group discussion about a complex topic, followed by peer feedback on individual written reflections, could integrate the benefits of both methods. Students first gain conversational experience in a low-stakes setting, then apply that learning to structured writing tasks with guidance from peers

## 5. Conclusion

The use of group activities and peer review in the classroom brings into perspective the strong role that is imparted by Vygotsky's sociocultural theory using collaborative methods. In this way, promoting social interaction and using peer assistance, the instructor builds an environment that favours improvements not only in linguistic aspects but also in critical thinking, self-assessment, and interpersonal communication. This literature review has identified that group activities catalyze language development by stimulating engagement, vocabulary acquisition, and cognitive processing through meaningful interactions. Such group assignments offer students opportunities to practice of the language within a protective environment where they can make an effort to use new, unfamiliar structures and expressions with classmates

Moreover, peer feedback complements group work through a sense of shared responsibility for learning. Learners learn to articulate their understanding, critically evaluate the use of language, and develop confidence based on constructive discussion. In giving and receiving feedback, students will refine their writing abilities while contributing to the development of others, reinforcing a community of practice that raises the level of overall language proficiency.

In conclusion, the results are impressive; collaboration in language learning is not just helpful but also imperative. Immersive experiences in which language acquisition would become an interactive experience could be facilitated by embracing group activities and giving structured peer feedback. Such a holistic approach would develop not only linguistic competence but also the social and cognitive skills that students need to communicate effectively in all contexts. The power of collaboration acts as a linchpin that makes language learning possible, trying to bridge theoretical understanding into practical implementation in an ESL classroom

## Acknowledgements

Initially, the writer wants to express gratitude and praise to Allah SWT for the health, strength, guidance, and affection which might be given so that the writer enabled the completion of this article. Furthermore, the writer would like to thank their beloved parents, who have always provided support. The writer also wishes to extend their gratitude to the lecturers who helped make this article possible

## References

- Baker, K., & Packer, M. (2020). Managing group dynamics in collaborative learning environments. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 25(1), 43-56
- Donato, R. (2000). Collective scaffolding in peer interaction: A focus on the role of language. In J. P. Lantolf (Ed.), *Sociocultural theory and second language learning* (pp. 84-99). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Dörnyei, Z. (2001). *Teaching and researching motivation*. Longman.
- Dörnyei, Z., & Murphey, T. (2003). *Group dynamics in the language classroom*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Gibbons, P. (2002). *Scaffolding language, scaffolding learning: Teaching second language learners in the mainstream classroom*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Hattie, J. (2009). *Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement*. Routledge.
- Hernandez, T. (2018). Collaborative projects in the language classroom: A guide to best practices. *TESOL Journal*, 9(2), 123-134.
- Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (1989). Cooperation and competition: Theory and research. *Journal of Social Issues*, 45(1), 19-41.
- Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2009). *An educational psychology success story: Social interdependence theory and cooperative learning*. *Educational Researcher*, 38(5), 365-379.
- Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2009). An educational psychology success story: Social interdependence theory and cooperative learning. *Educational Psychologist*, 44(2), 95-100.
- Kagan, S. (1994). *Cooperative learning*. Kagan Publishing.

- 
- Liu, J., & Hansen, J. G. (2002). *Peer Response in Second Language Writing Classrooms*. University of Michigan Press.
- Liu, J., & Hansen, J. G. (2005). *Peer response in second language writing classrooms*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Long, M. H. (2015). *Second Language Acquisition and Task-Based Language Teaching*. Wiley Blackwell.
- Lyman, F. (1981). *The responsive classroom discussion*. In M. M. H. A. (Ed.), *Handbook of research on teaching* (pp. 108-148). Random House.
- Nunan, D. (1992). *Research methods in language learning*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Reinders, H., & Lazaro, D. (2011). The role of games in second language learning: A review of the literature. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 2(6), 1294-1304.
- Storch, N. (2005). Collaborative writing: Product, process, and students' reflections. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 14(3), 153-173.
- Sullivan, P. (2009). Collaboration and the language classroom: Realizing the promise of collaborative learning. *English Teaching: Practice and Critique*, 8(1), 50-67.
- Swain, M. (2000). The output hypothesis and beyond: Mediating acquisition through collaborative dialogue. In J. P. Lantolf (Ed.), *Sociocultural theory and second language learning* (pp. 97-114). Oxford University Press.
- Swain, M., Brooks, L., & Tocalli-Beller, A. (2002). Peer-peer dialogue as a means of second language learning. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 22, 171-185.
- Sweeney, J. (2013). Using role plays to enhance language learning. *Modern Language Journal*, 97(2), 339-353.
- Tomlinson, C. A. (2001). *How to differentiate instruction in mixed-ability classrooms*. ASCD.
- Topping, K. J. (1998). Peer assessment between students in colleges and universities. *Review of Educational Research*, 68(3), 249-276.
- van Lier, L. (1996). *Interaction in the language curriculum: Awareness, autonomy and authenticity*. London: Longman.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Zuo, W., & Wu, J. (2013). *Effectiveness of peer feedback in college writing instruction: A case study*.