International Conference on Language Pedagogy
Vol. 4, No. 1, pp 140-151, November 2024
ISSN: 2809-4808
DOI: https://doi.org/10.24036/icolp.v4i1.190

Fostering Metacognitive Skills Through AI-Human Dialogues: A New Paradigm for Language Learning

FX. Risang Baskara

[1)]English Letters Department, Faculty of Letters, Universitas Sanata Dharma
Jl. STM Pembangunan No.10a, Santren, Caturtunggal, Kec. Depok, Kabupaten Sleman, Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta 55281
*Corresponding Author, email: risangbaskara@usd.ac.id

Received: October 20, 2024 Revised: November 1, 2024 Accepted: November 5, 2024

Abstract

This conceptual paper proposes a novel framework for fostering metacognitive skills in language learners through AI-human dialogues, presenting a new paradigm for language education in the 21st century. As generative AI technologies advance, their potential to revolutionise language learning remains largely untapped, particularly in developing higher-order thinking skills. This study addresses the critical question: How can AI-human dialogues be leveraged to foster metacognitive skills in language learners? Through a comprehensive literature review and conceptual analysis, we develop a framework that integrates AI capabilities with established metacognitive strategies. The proposed framework comprises five key components: Reflective Questioning, Strategy Awareness, Progress Monitoring, Error Analysis, and Adaptive Challenges. Each component is designed to engage learners in deep, personalised metacognitive processes, facilitated by AI's ability to model strategies, provide immediate feedback, and adapt to individual learning patterns. This paper discusses the potential benefits of the framework, including enhanced self-regulated learning, improved language acquisition efficiency, and increased learner autonomy. It also addresses challenges and ethical considerations, such as balancing AI guidance and learner independence and ensuring privacy in AI-human interactions. By presenting this innovative approach, we aim to stimulate further research and practical applications in the field, ultimately contributing to more effective and personalised language learning experiences in the AI era.

Keywords: Adaptive learning, Artificial intelligence, Language education, Metacognition, Self-regulated learning

1. Introduction

In the ever-evolving landscape of language education, the role of metacognition has gained increasing prominence (Jessner & Allgäuer-Hackl, 2022; Teng, 2020; Wu, 2022). Defined as the awareness and understanding of one's thought processes, metacognition plays a crucial role in effective language acquisition (Anderson, 2002; Flavell, 1979; Wenden, 1998). Language learners with solid metacognitive skills are better equipped to plan, monitor, and evaluate their learning strategies, leading to more efficient and successful outcomes (Idris et al., 2022; Lawson et al., 2021; Marantika, 2021).

The concept of metacognition in language learning is not new. As early as the 1980s, researchers like O'Malley and Chamot (1990) highlighted the importance of metacognitive strategies in second language acquisition. These strategies, which include planning for learning, thinking about the learning process as it takes place, and evaluating learning after an activity is completed, have significantly enhanced language proficiency (Goh & Vandergrift, 2021).

Despite the recognised importance of metacognition, fostering these skills in language learners remains a significant challenge (Teng et al., 2022; Vellanki et al., 2022). Traditional language teaching methods often focus on content delivery rather than developing metacognitive awareness (Raoofi et al., 2014). Many learners struggle to reflect on their learning processes, set practical goals, or choose appropriate strategies for different language tasks (Goh, 2008; Horwitz, 2020; Öztürk & Çakıroğlu, 2021).

Moreover, developing metacognitive skills requires consistent practice and feedback, which can be time-consuming and resource-intensive in traditional classroom settings (Veenman et al., 2006). Language instructors, often constrained by time and large class sizes, find it challenging to provide the individualised guidance necessary to nurture each learner's metacognitive skills (Teng, 2019).

Enter generative AI, a groundbreaking technology that has the potential to revolutionise language education (Baskara, 2023a; 2023b; Pack & Maloney, 2023). Generative AI, powered by large language models, can produce human-like text, engage in dialogue, and adapt responses based on context (Brown et al., 2020). This technology has already shown promise in various educational applications, from personalised tutoring to automated feedback systems (Winkler-Schwartz et al., 2019).

In the context of language learning, generative AI offers unprecedented opportunities for creating interactive, adaptive, and personalised learning experiences (Chung et al., 2021). Its ability to engage in natural language conversations opens new avenues for fostering metacognitive skills through AI-human dialogues.

Given the potential of generative AI and the pressing need to develop metacognitive skills in language learners, this paper addresses a critical question: How can AI-human dialogues be leveraged to foster metacognitive skills in language learners? This question explores the intersection of artificial intelligence, language pedagogy, and cognitive psychology, aiming to uncover innovative approaches to language education in the digital age.

This paper proposes a novel framework for integrating AI-human dialogues into language learning to enhance metacognitive skills. We argue that carefully designed AI-human interactions can provide personalised, scalable, and effective means of developing metacognitive awareness and strategies in language learners.

The paper is structured as follows: First, we outline our methodology, explaining our approach to conceptual analysis and framework development. Next, we present our proposed framework, detailing its essential components and the role of AI in facilitating metacognitive skill development. We then discuss the implications of this framework for language pedagogy, addressing potential benefits, challenges, and ethical considerations. Finally, we conclude with suggestions for future research directions and practical applications of our proposed approach.

By exploring the potential of AI-human dialogues in fostering metacognitive skills, this paper aims to contribute to the ongoing dialogue about the future of language education in an increasingly AI-driven world.

2. Methods

2.1 Approach: Conceptual analysis and framework development

This study employs a conceptual analysis approach to develop a framework for fostering metacognitive skills through AI-human dialogues in language learning. As Jabareen (2009) suggests, conceptual analysis is particularly useful for building theoretical frameworks in interdisciplinary fields. Given our study's intersection of language education, cognitive

psychology, and artificial intelligence, this approach allows us to synthesise diverse concepts into a coherent framework.

2.2 Literature Review Methodology

2.2.1 Selection criteria for included studies

We conducted a comprehensive literature review following the PRISMA guidelines (Moher et al., 2009). Studies were included if they met the following criteria: (a) published in peer-reviewed journals or conference proceedings between 2000 and 2024, (b) written in English, (c) focused on metacognition in language learning, AI in education, or the intersection of both, and (d) presented empirical findings or theoretical frameworks relevant to our research question.

2.2.2 Databases and search terms used

We searched significant educational and technological databases, including ERIC, Scopus, IEEE Xplore, and Google Scholar. Key search terms included combinations of "metacognition," "language learning," "artificial intelligence," "generative AI," "educational technology," and "self-regulated learning." Boolean operators were used to refine the search results.

2.3 Framework development process

2.3.1 Identification of critical components

Following the literature review, we employed a thematic analysis approach (Braun & Clarke, 2006) to identify recurring themes and concepts related to metacognitive skill development and AI-assisted learning. These themes were then synthesised into critical components of our proposed framework.

2.3.2 Integration of AI capabilities with metacognitive strategies

We mapped the identified metacognitive strategies onto generative AI systems' current and projected capabilities, drawing on recent advancements in natural language processing and dialogue systems (e.g., Brown et al., 2020). This process allowed us to conceptualise how AI-human dialogues could be structured to support metacognitive skill development.

2.4 Limitations of the chosen approach

While our conceptual analysis approach allows for a comprehensive synthesis of existing knowledge, it has limitations. The theoretical nature of the framework means that its efficacy has not been empirically tested. Additionally, the rapid pace of AI development may outstrip some of our projections. Future work should focus on empirical validation and continuous refinement of the framework in light of technological advancements.

3. Results: Proposed Framework

3.1 Overview of the AI-Human Dialogue Framework for Metacognitive Development

Our proposed framework, the AI-Human Dialogue Framework for Metacognitive Development (AIDF-MD), is designed to leverage the capabilities of generative AI to foster metacognitive skills in language learners. This framework is grounded in established theories of metacognition (Flavell, 1979; Schraw & Moshman, 1995) and integrates recent advancements in AI-assisted language learning.

The AIDF-MD comprises five interrelated components: Reflective Questioning, Strategy Awareness, Progress Monitoring, Error Analysis, and Adaptive Challenges. These components are designed to work synergistically, creating a comprehensive environment for metacognitive skill development. The AI system is a facilitator, guide, and collaborator in this process, adapting its interactions to the learner's needs and progress.

This framework addresses the challenges in fostering metacognitive skills in traditional language learning settings (Teng, 2019) by providing personalised, scalable, and continuous support for metacognitive development.

3.2 Key Components of the Framework

3.2.1 Reflective Questioning

The Reflective Questioning component prompts learners to think critically about their learning processes. Drawing on the work of King (1991) on questioning as a metacognitive strategy, this component involves the AI system posing thought-provoking questions that encourage learners to reflect on their approach to language tasks.

For example, before a reading comprehension task, the AI might ask, "What strategies do you plan to use to understand this text?" After the task, it might follow up with, "How effective were your chosen strategies? Why?" These questions aim to develop what Schraw and Dennison (1994) term "knowledge of cognition," encompassing declarative, procedural, and conditional knowledge about one's cognitive processes.

3.2.2 Strategy Awareness

The Strategy Awareness component focuses on expanding learners' repertoire of language learning strategies and enhancing their ability to select appropriate strategies for different tasks. This aligns with Oxford's (1990) taxonomy of language learning strategies and Chamot's (2004) work on strategy instruction.

The AI system introduces various cognitive, metacognitive, and socio-affective strategies in this component, explaining their potential benefits and appropriate contexts. For instance, the AI might introduce the 'scanning' strategy for quickly locating specific information in a text or the 'think-aloud' strategy for monitoring comprehension during listening tasks.

3.2.3 Progress Monitoring

Progress Monitoring involves helping learners track their language learning journey and evaluate their improvement over time. This component is inspired by Zimmerman's (2002) model of self-regulated learning, particularly the performance and self-reflection phases.

The AI system assists learners in setting specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and time-bound (SMART) goals (Doran, 1981) and provides tools for tracking progress towards these goals. For example, the AI might help a learner set a goal to learn 50 new vocabulary words monthly and then provide regular check-ins to assess progress and adjust strategies if needed.

3.2.4 Error Analysis

The Error Analysis component is designed to help learners develop a constructive approach to mistakes, viewing them as opportunities for learning rather than failures. This aligns with Schmidt's (1990) noticing hypothesis in second language acquisition, which posits that conscious attention to linguistic features is necessary for language learning.

In this component, the AI system guides learners through a systematic analysis of their errors, helping them understand the nature of the error, its possible causes, and strategies for avoiding similar errors in the future. For instance, after a writing task, the AI might highlight

recurring grammatical errors and engage the learner in a dialogue about the underlying rules and their applications.

3.2.5 Adaptive Challenges

The Adaptive Challenges component aims to stretch learners' abilities continuously while maintaining an optimal level of challenge. This is based on Vygotsky's (1978) concept of the Zone of Proximal Development and Krashen's (1985) Input Hypothesis in language acquisition.

The AI system dynamically adjusts the difficulty of language tasks based on the learner's current proficiency level and rate of progress. This ensures that learners consistently work at the edge of their capabilities, promoting growth without causing frustration or boredom.

3.3 AI's Role in Facilitating Each Component

3.3.1 Modeling metacognitive strategies

One of the critical roles of the AI in this framework is to model effective metacognitive strategies. As Bandura's (1977) social learning theory suggests, individuals can learn new behaviours by observing and imitating others. In this case, the AI system is a model demonstrating how to approach language tasks metacognitively.

For example, when introducing a new reading comprehension strategy, the AI might "think aloud," verbalising its thought process: "Before I start reading, I am going to look at the title and any headings to get an idea of the text. This will help me activate my prior knowledge on the topic."

3.3.2 Providing personalised prompts and feedback

The AI system's ability to provide immediate, personalised feedback supports metacognitive development (Naseer et al., 2024; Nikolopoulou, 2024; Pesovski et al., 2024). Unlike traditional classroom settings where immediate individual feedback is often challenging (Hattie & Timperley, 2007), the AI can offer instant, tailored responses to each learner's actions and reflections (Abas et al., 2023; Barrot, 2024; ElSayary, 2024).

For instance, if a learner consistently struggles with a particular grammar point, the AI might prompt: "I have noticed you often mix up 'affect' and 'effect'. Let us take a moment to reflect on why this might be challenging for you. Can you think of strategies to help you remember the difference?"

3.3.3 Adapting dialogue complexity to learner's level

The AI system's ability to adapt language use to the learner's proficiency level is critical to maintaining engagement and comprehension (Betaubun et al., 2023; Songsiengchai et al., 2023; Xiao & Zhi, 2023). This aligns with comprehensible second-language acquisition input (Krashen, 1985).

As the learner's language proficiency and metacognitive skills develop, the AI gradually increases the complexity of its prompts and explanations. This ensures that the learner always operates at the edge of their capabilities, promoting continuous growth.

3.4 Integration with Existing Language Learning Approaches

The AIDF-MD is designed to complement, rather than replace, existing language learning approaches. It can be integrated into various instructional methods, from traditional classroom teaching to self-directed online learning.

The framework could be implemented as a supplementary tool in a classroom setting, providing personalised metacognitive support outside class hours. It could be integrated into existing platforms in online learning environments, offering continuous metacognitive guidance alongside content delivery. This integration aligns with the blended learning approach

advocated by many language education researchers (e.g., Chapelle, 2017), combining the benefits of AI-driven personalisation with human instruction and peer interaction.

3.5 Potential Benefits of the Framework

3.5.1 Enhanced self-regulated learning

By consistently engaging learners in metacognitive processes, the AIDF-MD aims to develop their capacity for self-regulated learning. This aligns with Zimmerman's (2002) cyclical model of self-regulation, potentially leading to more effective, autonomous language learners.

3.5.2 Improved Language Acquisition Efficiency

The framework's focus on strategy awareness and application may lead to more efficient language acquisition. As Oxford (1990) notes, effective use of language learning strategies is associated with higher proficiency and achievement in language learning.

3.5.3 Increased learner autonomy and motivation

By empowering learners with metacognitive skills and providing personalised support, the AIDF-MD can potentially increase learner autonomy and motivation. This aligns with self-determination theory (Deci & Ryan, 1985), which posits that autonomy, competence, and relatedness are critical factors in intrinsic motivation.

4. Discussion

4.1 Implications for Language Pedagogy

4.1.1 Shift in the role of language instructors

Implementing the AI-Human Dialogue Framework for Metacognitive Development (AIDF-MD) necessitates reimagining the language instructor's role. As AI systems provide personalised, continuous metacognitive support, teachers may shift from primary knowledge disseminators to facilitators of learning and metacognitive coaches (Godwin-Jones, 2019). This aligns with the broader trend in education towards more student-centred, constructivist approaches (Reinders & White, 2016).

Instructors must develop new skills to integrate AI-supported metacognitive development into their teaching practices. This may include learning to interpret AI-generated data on student progress, designing tasks that complement AI interactions, and guiding students in critically evaluating and applying the metacognitive strategies suggested by the AI system (Chapelle & Sauro, 2017).

4.1.2 Personalization of language learning experiences

The AIDF-MD offers unprecedented opportunities to personalise language learning experiences. Unlike traditional one-size-fits-all approaches, this framework allows for tailoring metacognitive instruction to each learner's unique needs, preferences, and progress (Warschauer & Liaw, 2011). This level of personalisation can significantly enhance learning outcomes, as it aligns with the principle of differentiated instruction in language teaching (Tomlinson & Imbeau, 2023).

However, this high degree of personalisation also raises questions about the role of collaborative learning in language acquisition. Future implementations of the framework must consider balancing individualised AI interactions with opportunities for peer-to-peer learning and cultural exchange, which are crucial aspects of language learning (Lantolf & Thorne, 2006).

4.1.3 Scalability of metacognitive instruction

One of the most promising aspects of the AIDF-MD is its potential for scaling up metacognitive instruction. In traditional settings, providing individualised metacognitive guidance is often limited by time and resource constraints (Veenman et al., 2006). The AI-driven approach allows for continuous, personalised metacognitive support for many learners simultaneously, potentially democratising access to high-quality language education (Bax, 2018).

This scalability could be particularly impactful in contexts where access to qualified language instructors is limited, such as rural or underfunded educational settings. However, it is crucial to consider the digital divide and ensure that the implementation of such technology does not exacerbate existing educational inequalities (Warschauer, 2004).

4.2 Challenges and Ethical Considerations

4.2.1 Balancing AI guidance and learner independence

While the AIDF-MD aims to foster learner autonomy, there is a risk that overreliance on AI guidance could paradoxically undermine this goal (Balcı, 2024; Cong-Lem et al., 2024; Slamet, 2024). Learners might become dependent on the AI system, constantly seeking its advice rather than developing metacognitive skills (Tsai, 2021). Striking the right balance between supporting and encouraging independent thinking will be crucial. This challenge echoes concerns raised in the broader field of educational technology about the potential for technology to create passive learners (Selwyn, 2016).

4.2.2 Addressing potential biases in AI-generated dialogues

As with any AI system, there is a risk of bias in the AIDF-MD. These biases could manifest in various ways, such as the AI system favouring certain learning styles, cultural perspectives, or linguistic features. Given the critical role of culture in language learning, any such biases could have significant impacts on learners' experiences and outcomes (AlAli & Wardat, 2024; Law, 2024; Wang et al., 2023).

Addressing this challenge will require ongoing monitoring and adjustment of the AI system and transparency about its limitations. It may also necessitate the development of AI systems that can adapt to individual learners and different cultural and linguistic contexts (Kaplan & Haenlein, 2020).

4.2.3 Ensuring privacy and data protection

The personalised nature of the AIDF-MD requires collecting and analysing substantial amounts of learner data. This raises important questions about data privacy and security (Berendt et al., 2020). How will learner data be stored and protected? Who will have access to this data, and for what purposes? These questions need to be addressed from a technical standpoint and ethical and legal perspectives, considering varied international data protection regulations like GDPR in Europe.

4.3 Comparison with Existing Metacognitive Development Approaches

The AIDF-MD shares some commonalities with existing approaches to metacognitive development in language learning, such as strategy instruction (Chamot, 2004) and reflective practice (Farrell, 2018). However, its use of AI differs in providing continuous, personalised support and integrating multiple metacognitive components into a cohesive framework.

Compared to technology-enhanced approaches like intelligent tutoring systems (ITS) for language learning (Heift & Schulze, 2015), the AIDF-MD emphasises metacognitive skill development more than solely on language content. It also offers more advanced natural language processing capabilities, allowing for more nuanced and context-aware interactions.

While these differences suggest potential advantages, empirical research is needed to compare the effectiveness of the AIDF-MD with existing approaches in various learning contexts.

4.4 Limitations of the Proposed Framework

Despite its potential, the AIDF-MD has several limitations that warrant acknowledgment. Its effectiveness has yet to be empirically validated as a conceptual framework. The complex interplay between AI technology, metacognitive development, and language learning may yield unexpected results in practice.

Secondly, the framework assumes a certain level of technological infrastructure and digital literacy among learners and instructors, which may not be universally available. This could limit its applicability in some educational contexts (Chapelle, 2017).

Lastly, the framework's heavy reliance on AI interaction may not suit all learning styles or cultural contexts where human interaction is highly valued in the educational process (Palfreyman & Benson, 2018).

4.5 Future Research Directions

4.5.1 Empirical testing of the framework

The most immediate direction for future research is the empirical testing of the AIDF-MD. This would involve developing a prototype AI system based on the framework and conducting controlled studies to assess its impact on learners' metacognitive skills and language proficiency. Such studies should employ mixed methods, combining quantitative measures of learning outcomes with qualitative analyses of learner experiences (Dörnyei, 2007).

4.5.2 Long-term studies on language proficiency impact

While short-term studies can provide valuable insights, the true impact of metacognitive development on language proficiency may only become apparent over more extended periods. Longitudinal studies tracking learners' progress over months or years would be invaluable in understanding the long-term effects of the AIDF-MD on language acquisition and metacognitive skill development (Ortega & Iberri-Shea, 2005).

4.5.3 Investigation of cross-linguistic and cross-cultural applications

Given the global nature of language learning, it is crucial to investigate how the AIDF-MD performs across different languages and cultural contexts. This research could explore how the framework must be adapted for languages with different structural properties or cultures with different educational traditions and values (Holliday, 2018). Such investigations would contribute to refining the AIDF-MD and our broader understanding of the interplay between technology, culture, and language learning.

5. Conclusion

This paper has introduced the AI-Human Dialogue Framework for Metacognitive Development (AIDF-MD), a novel approach to fostering metacognitive skills in language learners through AI-assisted interactions. By integrating reflective questioning, strategy awareness, progress monitoring, error analysis, and adaptive challenges, the AIDF-MD offers a comprehensive and personalised approach to metacognitive development. The potential impact of this framework is significant, promising enhanced self-regulated learning, improved language acquisition efficiency, and increased learner autonomy.

As we stand at the cusp of a new era in educational technology, the integration of AI in language education presents exciting opportunities and complex challenges. The AIDF-MD represents one step towards harnessing AI's power to enhance language proficiency and the

fundamental skills of learning how to learn. However, as our discussion has highlighted, this integration must be approached thoughtfully, carefully considering ethical implications, cultural contexts, and the irreplaceable role of human interaction in language learning.

The proposed framework opens up numerous avenues for future research and practical application. We call upon researchers to empirically test and refine the AIDF-MD, conducting rigorous studies to assess its effectiveness across diverse learning contexts and over extended periods. For practitioners, we encourage the exploration of ways to integrate AI-supported metacognitive development into existing language curricula, always keeping in mind the need to balance technological innovation with sound pedagogical principles.

As we move forward, let us embrace the potential of AI in language education not as a replacement for human teaching but as a powerful tool to augment and enhance the language learning experience. By fostering metacognitive skills through AI-human dialogues, we can empower learners to become more effective, autonomous, and lifelong language learners in an increasingly interconnected world.

References

Abas, M. A., Arumugam, S. E., Yunus, M. M., & Rafiq, K. R. M. (2023). ChatGPT and personalised learning: Opportunities and challenges in higher education. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, *13*(12).

AlAli, R., & Wardat, Y. (2024). Opportunities and Challenges of Integrating Generative Artificial Intelligence in Education. *International Journal of Religion*, *5*(7), 784-793.

Anderson, N. J. (2002). The Role of Metacognition in Second Language Teaching and Learning. ERIC Digest.

Balcı, Ö. (2024). The role of ChatGPT in English as a foreign language (EFL) learning and teaching: A systematic review. *International Journal of Current Educational Studies*, *3*(1).

Bandura, A. (1977). Social learning theory. *Englewood Cliffs*.

Barrot, J. S. (2024). ChatGPT as a language learning tool: An emerging technology report. *Technology, Knowledge and Learning*, *29*(2), 1151-1156.

Baskara, F. R. (2023a). Exploring the implications of ChatGPT for language learning in higher education. *Indonesian Journal of English Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics*, *7*(2), 343-358.

Baskara, F. R. (2023b). Integrating ChatGPT into EFL writing instruction: Benefits and challenges. *International Journal of Education and Learning*, *5*(1), 44-55.

Bax, S., Jones, M. O., & Smith, S. (2018). MOOCs as a new technology: Approaches to normalising the MOOC experience for our learners. *Flipping the blend through MOOCs, MALL and OIL–new directions in CALL*, 9-16.

Berendt, B., Littlejohn, A., & Blakemore, M. (2020). AI in education: Learner choice and fundamental rights. *Learning, Media and Technology*, *45*(3), 312-324.

Betaubun, M., Rokhmah, D. E. L., & Budiasto, J. (2023). Personalized Pathways to Proficiency: Exploring the Synergy of Adaptive Learning and Artificial Intelligence in English Language Learning.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative research in psychology*, *3*(2), 77-101.

Brown, T. B., Mann, B., Ryder, N., Subbiah, M., Kaplan, J., Dhariwal, P., ... & Amodei, D. (2020). Language models are few-shot learners. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 33, 1877-1901.

Chamot, A. U. (2004). Issues in language learning strategy research and teaching. *Electronic journal of foreign language teaching*, *1*(1), 14-26.

Chapelle, C. A. (2017). Evaluation of technology and language learning. *The handbook of technology and second language teaching and learning*, 378-392.

Chapelle, C. A., & Sauro, S. (2017). Introduction to the handbook of technology and second language teaching and learning. *The handbook of technology and second language teaching and learning*, 1-9.

Chung, H., Iorga, M., Voas, J., & Lee, S. (2017). Alexa, can I trust you?. *Computer*, *50*(9), 100-104.

Cong-Lem, N., Tran, T. N., & Nguyen, T. T. (2024). Academic integrity in the age of generative AI: Perceptions and responses of Vietnamese EFL teachers. *Teaching English with Technology*, *24*(1), 28-47.

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2013). *Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior*. Springer Science & Business Media.

Doran, G. T. (1981). There's a SMART way to write managements's goals and objectives. *Management review*, *70*(11).

Dornyei, Z. (2007). *Research methods in applied linguistics*. Oxford university press.

ElSayary, A. (2024). An investigation of teachers' perceptions of using ChatGPT as a supporting tool for teaching and learning in the digital era. *Journal of computer assisted learning*, *40*(3), 931-945.

Farrell, T. S. (2018). *Reflective language teaching: Practical applications for TESOL teachers*. Bloomsbury Publishing.

Flavell, J. H. (1979). Metacognition and cognitive monitoring: A new area of cognitive–developmental inquiry. *American psychologist*, *34*(10), 906.

Godwin-Jones, R. (2019). Riding the digital wilds: Learner autonomy and informal language learning.

Goh, C. (2008). Metacognitive instruction for second language listening development: Theory, practice and research implications. *RELC journal*, *39*(2), 188-213.

Goh, C. C., & Vandergrift, L. (2021). *Teaching and learning second language listening: Metacognition in action*. Routledge.

Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of educational research*, *77*(1), 81-112.

Heift, T., & Schulze, M. (2015). Tutorial computer-assisted language learning. *Language Teaching*, *48*(4), 471-490.

Holliday, A. (2018). *Understanding intercultural communication: Negotiating a grammar of culture*. Routledge.

Horwitz, E. K. (2020). *Becoming a language teacher: A practical guide to second language learning and teaching*. Castledown Publishers.

Idris, N., Isa, H. M., Zakaria, N. N. N., Taib, N. A. M., Ismail, S., & Rahmat, N. H. (2022). An investigation of the use of cognitive and metacognitive strategies in foreign language learning. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, *12*(2), 70-89.

Jabareen, Y. (2009). Building a conceptual framework: philosophy, definitions, and procedure. *International journal of qualitative methods*, *8*(4), 49-62.

Jessner, U., & Allgäuer-Hackl, E. (2022). Metacognition in multilingual learning and teaching: Multilingual awareness as a central subcomponent of metacognition in research and practice. *AILA Review*, *35*(1), 12-37.

Kaplan, A., & Haenlein, M. (2020). Rulers of the world, unite! The challenges and opportunities of artificial intelligence. *Business Horizons*, *63*(1), 37-50.

King, A. (1991). Effects of training in strategic questioning on children's problem-solving performance. *Journal of Educational psychology*, *83*(3), 307.

Krashen, S. (1985). The input hypothesis: Issues and implications. *Laredo, Beverly Hills/longman*.

Lantolf, J. P. (2006). Language emergence: Implications for applied linguistics—A sociocultural perspective. *Applied linguistics*, *27*(4), 717-728.

Law, L. (2024). Application of generative artificial intelligence (GenAI) in language teaching and learning: A scoping literature review. *Computers and Education Open*, 100174.

Lawson, C. A., McGuire, S., Hodges, R., Gray, R., McGuire, S. Y., Killingbeck, M., & Segovia, J. (2021). Recipe for Success: Teaching Students Metacognitive and Self-Regulatory Learning Strategies. *Learning Assistance Review*, *26*(2), 149-178.

Marantika, J. E. R. (2021). Metacognitive ability and autonomous learning strategy in improving learning outcomes. *Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn)*, *15*(1), 88-96.

Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D. G., & PRISMA Group*, T. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Annals of internal medicine*, *151*(4), 264-269.

Naseer, F., Khalid, M. U., Ayub, N., Rasool, A., Abbas, T., & Afzal, M. W. (2024). Automated Assessment and Feedback in Higher Education Using Generative AI. In *Transforming Education With Generative AI: Prompt Engineering and Synthetic Content Creation* (pp. 433-461). IGI Global.

Nikolopoulou, K. (2024). Generative Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education: Exploring ways of harnessing pedagogical Practices with the assistance of ChatGPT. *International Journal of Changes in Education*, *1*(2), 103-111.

O'Malley, J. M. (1990). Learning strategies in second language acquisition. *Cambridge UP*.

Ortega, L., & Iberri-Shea, G. (2005). Longitudinal research in second language acquisition: Recent trends and future directions. *Annual review of applied linguistics*, *25*, 26-45.

Oxford, R. L. (1990). Language learning strategies: What every teacher should know. *(No Title)*.

Öztürk, M., & Çakıroğlu, Ü. (2021). Flipped learning design in EFL classrooms: implementing self-regulated learning strategies to develop language skills. *Smart Learning Environments*, *8*(1), 2.

Pack, A., & Maloney, J. (2023). Using generative artificial intelligence for language education research: Insights from using OpenAI's ChatGPT. *TESOL Quarterly*, *57*(4), 1571-1582.

Palfreyman, D. M., & Benson, P. (2019). Autonomy and its role in English language learning: Practice and research. *Second handbook of English language teaching*, 661-681.

Pesovski, I., Santos, R., Henriques, R., & Trajkovik, V. (2024). Generative ai for customizable learning experiences. *Sustainability*, *16*(7), 3034.

Raoofi, S., Chan, S. H., Mukundan, J., & Rashid, S. M. (2014). Metacognition and second/foreign language learning. *English Language Teaching*, *7*(1), 36-49.

Reinders, H., & White, C. (2016). 20 years of autonomy and technology: How far have we come and where to next?.

Schmidt, R. W. (1990). The role of consciousness in second language learning1. *Applied linguistics*, *11*(2), 129-158.

Schraw, G., & Dennison, R. S. (1994). Assessing metacognitive awareness. *Contemporary educational psychology*, *19*(4), 460-475.

Schraw, G., & Moshman, D. (1995). Metacognitive theories. *Educational psychology review*, *7*, 351-371.

Selwyn, N. (2016). *Is technology good for education?*. John Wiley & Sons.

Slamet, J. (2024). Potential of ChatGPT as a digital language learning assistant: EFL teachers' and students' perceptions. *Discover Artificial Intelligence*, *4*(1), 46.

Songsiengchai, S., Sereerat, B. O., & Watananimitgul, W. (2023). Leveraging Artificial Intelligence (AI): Chat GPT for Effective English Language Learning among Thai Students. *English Language Teaching*, *16*(11), 1-68.

Teng, F. (2020). The role of metacognitive knowledge and regulation in mediating university EFL learners' writing performance. *Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching*, *14*(5), 436-450.

Teng, M. F. (2019). Autonomy, agency, and identity in teaching and learning English as a foreign language. *(No Title)*.

Teng, M. F., Qin, C., & Wang, C. (2022). Validation of metacognitive academic writing strategies and the predictive effects on academic writing performance in a foreign language context. *Metacognition and learning*, *17*(1), 167-190.

Tomlinson, C. A., & Imbeau, M. B. (2023). *Leading and managing a differentiated classroom*. Ascd.

Tsai, Y. R. (2021). Promotion of learner autonomy within the framework of a flipped EFL instructional model: Perception and perspectives. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, *34*(7), 979-1011.

Veenman, M. V., Van Hout-Wolters, B. H., & Afflerbach, P. (2006). Metacognition and learning: Conceptual and methodological considerations. *Metacognition and learning*, *1*, 3-14.

Vellanki, S. S., Mond, S., Khan, Z. K., & Nair, L. G. (2022). Teachers' viewpoint of metacognitive strategy instruction in listening during remote teaching in Oman: Challenges and strategies. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, *21*(7), 82-106.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes* (Vol. 86). Harvard university press.

Wang, T., Lund, B. D., Marengo, A., Pagano, A., Mannuru, N. R., Teel, Z. A., & Pange, J. (2023). Exploring the potential impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on international students in higher education: Generative AI, chatbots, analytics, and international student success. *Applied Sciences*, *13*(11), 6716.

Warschauer, M. (2004). Technology and social inclusion: Rethinking the digital divide.

Warschauer, M., & Liaw, M. L. (2011). Emerging technologies for autonomous language learning. *Studies in Self-Access Learning Journal*, *2*(3).

Wenden, A. L. (1998). Metacognitive knowledge and language learning1. *Applied linguistics*, *19*(4), 515-537.

Winkler-Schwartz, A., Bissonnette, V., Mirchi, N., Ponnudurai, N., Yilmaz, R., Ledwos, N., ... & Del Maestro, R. F. (2019). Artificial intelligence in medical education: best practices using machine learning to assess surgical expertise in virtual reality simulation. *Journal of surgical education*, *76*(6), 1681-1690.

Wu, M. M. F. (2022). The social nature of second language metacognition. *The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher*, *31*(5), 499-506.

Xiao, Y., & Zhi, Y. (2023). An exploratory study of EFL learners' use of ChatGPT for language learning tasks: Experience and perceptions. *Languages*, *8*(3), 212.

Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Becoming a self-regulated learner: An overview. *Theory into practice*, *41*(2), 64-70.