

Explicit Instruction In Second Language Acquisition: Review Of Related Literature

Rada Febriani

Universitas Negeri Padang

Address

*Corresponding Author, email: radafebriani06@gmail.com

Received: November, 14, 2023

Revised: December, 14, 2023

Accepted: December, 30, 2023

Abstract

Second language learning (SLA) looks at how people learn a new language and what influences their ability to do so. It takes into account the various factors that can affect the learning process, also evolving and changing rapidly. Language development often involves explicit learning, as knowledge can be acquired anywhere and anytime, knowledge can be acquired intentionally or unintentionally what has been learnt. Therefore, it has created evocative issues in both naturalistic and instructional settings that have led to reviews or investigations by language researchers. This current study aims to provide a comprehensive explicit instruction review of the knowledge domain in second language acquisition (SLA) as situated in the landscape of language teaching. At first, this paper starts with the essentials of explicit instruction. Next, the paper studies the importance of such instruction, and this extends to an analysis of empirical studies conducted in the 21th century and current empirical studies in the 22st century. In finally, the paper arrives at conclusions, recommendations, and learning for future SLA studies.

Keywords: *Explicit Instruction, SLA, Empirical Studies*

Introduction

Second Language Acquisition (SLA) is the process of learning a language that is not our first language, and second language (L2) learning occurs after first language (L1) acquisition and in the late stages of childhood, adolescence, or adulthood. SLA is a complex area of inquiry as it involves interrelated variables. As such, SLA has been faced with never-ending issues and debates since its conception in the late 1950s especially during the time of Pit Corder in 1967 when he produced an SLA publication entitled, 'The Importance of Learner Error'. The issues in SLA revolved around the role of first language (L1), the natural route of development, variation in language learner contexts, individual learner differences, the role of input, learner processes to the role of formal instruction which has motivated applied linguists in researching the world of SLA.

In spite of the above, The language learning process can be naturalistic or instructional. (Ma, 2023) While instructed SLA focuses on learning the target language in a classroom setting, naturalistic SLA refers to second language acquisition in a real environment, such as the home, where children typically acquire language. Understanding the role that SLA plays in teaching is crucial for two reasons: First, because it explains how variations in environmental conditions

or environmental or naturalistic conditions affect SLA, it aids in the development of theoretical understanding. Second, it helps in developing language pedagogy as it can help to test basic pedagogical assumptions. To this effect, this study represents an attempt to critically examine the literature on taught, tutored, and classroom SLA, with a focus on direct instruction within the context of language teaching. This paper primarily aims to: Provide essential foundational information on direct teaching in SLA to language teachers and researchers; Stress the significance of tests and criteria in measuring SLA direct teaching; and Highlight noteworthy SLA direct teaching research to guide future SLA research directions. With these goals in mind, the author hopes that this critical review of the literature will give language teachers, researchers, and practitioners a deeper understanding of direct teaching in SLA, regardless of whether they are already in the field or are just getting started. The paper begins by outlining the fundamental components of direct instruction. Following this, the paper addresses the significance of this kind of instruction, which includes a review of empirical research from the 21st and 22nd centuries. The paper draws conclusions and suggests implications in this regard.

Methods

This study utilized the literature review methodology, which systematically analyzes and evaluates relevant existing literature on a specific topic or research question (Snyder, 2019). This study reviewed several articles related to the Explicit Instruction In Second Language Acquisition. The literature review technique upholds formal register, avoids subjective evaluations, and utilizes clear, succinct language to promote objectivity. It also ensures that technical terms are defined, used consistently, and free of sentimental or biased language. The use of precise subject-specific vocabulary to convey meaning is maintained, along with a logical structure with causal connections between statements.

Result and Discussion

Direct/Explicit instruction

Direct or explicit instruction is hypothesized that conscious learning, not subconscious acquisition (Ramirez & Jones, 2013). The rules of language that students can articulate or explain are known as explicit knowledge. Understanding how irregular verbs are formed in the past tense is one example. Conversely, (Hernandez, 2017) implicit knowledge is intuitive knowledge since it is a reflection of speaking one's mother tongue well. Explicit knowledge becomes verbalizable as it develops. It proves itself with real-life language performances. Explicit knowledge is the rules of language that students are able to articulate or explain.

Understanding how irregular verbs are formed in the past tense is one example. Conversely, implicit knowledge is intuitive knowledge since it is a reflection of speaking one's mother tongue well. Explicit knowledge becomes verbalizable as it develops. It proves itself with real-life language performances. One can categorize direct instruction into dimensions. Deductive and inductive dimensions make up the first dimension (Sherin, 2022). The deductive dimension calls for a metalinguistically explanatory style of explicit instruction that gives L2 learners explicit clarification on the target language's syntactical structure. Proactive and reactivity make up the second dimension. The former is built around a grammatical syllabus with graded structural items that need to be taught. It contains pre-established guidelines to prevent L2 learners from making mistakes. The latter is dealing with mistakes head-on.

The deductive/inductive dimension is used to determine how instruction has an impact, while the proactive/reactive dimension provides explicit instruction (Friedrichsen, 2020). Another type of inductive reactive direct instruction is deductive reactive direct instruction, which involves explicit correction followed by repetition. Reactive/deductive explicit instruction and reactive/inductive explicit instruction are other combinations (Nguyen & Pham, 2022). Only experimental studies address the effect of instruction in SLA, despite the fact that study methodologies ranging from descriptive to

correlation have illuminated instructional SLA. The effects of direct instruction can be identified through using different instruments as distinguished. One important topic that is thought to need to be solved is whether or not direct instruction improves SLA.

Differences between Indirect and Direct Instructions. Indirect Instruction : brings attention to the significance of language, Language is mostly used as, a communication tool, presented impromptu and Moreover, (for example, in an otherwise communication-focused task), Inconspicuous (little disruption) of meaning communication), puts goal forms in perspective, No guidelines or explanation of the rule pay attention to forms to learn the regulations; lack of metalanguage usage, promotes the free usage of the intended form. While, Direct Instruction focuses on the linguistic form Language is studied as an object. Predetermined and organized (for example, serving as the primary objective of a teaching exercise), invasive (interrupting the flow of meaning), displays the target forms separately. Use of guidelines or instructions, to fill out forms in order to learn the regulations; use of terminology from metalinguistics, involves the regulated application of intended form.

21 Century Empirical Studies on Direct Instruction in SLA

This section examines well-known and influential experimental research from the 21st century. They concentrated on the results of focusing training on the path, speed, and/or effectiveness of learners' L2 acquisition growth. acquisition of L2. Developmental path describes the overall arrangement or particular arrangement of the acquisition. The pace at which learning occurs is known as the rate of development. While proficiency is the measure of developmental progress realized. Through longitudinal and morpheme research on SLA education, they open the door to better theoretical comprehension since they can clarify how SLA is impacted by variations in naturalistic settings (Rahman & Rashid, 2017).

The majority of research on development success and rate focused on relative utility, or the total impact of formal instruction in ESL and EFL classrooms. It goes on to denotes a relationship between the effects and direct instruction. Such studies demonstrate the beneficial effects of teaching, however results, and there were no learning outcomes. Tests employed in Acquisition measurements were both integrative and discrete-point. It is possible to examine that discrete-point tests are metalinguistic assessment, limited construction reaction as well as specific response assessments, but integrative Tests are made of free-form responses. (Sherin, 2022) may have failed to specify or classify whether explicit or implicit knowledge on the target language structure did the L2 learners acquire. The balance between the two may be absent as both types of language knowledge are subjects of ambiguities; so, this suggests that there had been an existing dearth of unbiased empirical studies on the area of route of development which needed gap – filling.

The following criteria were used to assess instruction: students' judgment of a sentence's grammaticality; students' ability to then take note of the input's grammatical characteristics; and the extent to which instruction has an impact when evaluated using a meta-linguistic assessment. There was less restricted or selected constructed response in the tests, and more free constructed response. Results revealed uncertainty regarding the the degree to which education can assist students in an explicit Knowledge of grammatical structures is still unclear, as does the significance of directed instruction at this level of L2 proficiency. (Khezrlou, 2021) examined the relative requires of production practice compared to structured input. Students who were given input-processing guidance performed better than those who received conventional training on comprehension assessment results. But perhaps the structured input is more to blame for this. component as opposed to the clear directive, as (Khezrlou, 2021) asserts.

There must be other factors that affected the results such as the length of exposure to direct instruction in the classroom. It is unexpected to learn from the study and report that there is no benefit to exposure and education. Upshur (1968) had grownups who had mixed first languages, as well as those from intermediate high levels, while Fathman (1975) became a parent with a variety of first languages as well as from all skill levels stages. First, they completed a discrete-point test, and second, holistic assessment. The range of potential causes could be one of the L1, the location of the subjects' possession. This might be a area that requires research. Since there are most likely studies that have been carried out on this gap, additional researchers might be judged essential.

22 Century Empirical Studies on Direct Instruction in SLA

More experiments are available in the twenty-two century. It is already evident that receiving formal training for nearly or longer than 20 years improves second Language acquisition and performance. The rules' appearance, exposure to relevant knowledge, metalinguistic awareness, feedback, and practice opportunities were among the main topics of these SLA investigations. The majority of the L2 learners in the studies in this area were studying English as a foreign language at the time they were performed.

While one group of students received direct education in French as their second language, another group did not get any assistance. They took a discrete-point grammar test to gauge how well they were learning French relative pronouns. The students completed three tests: a pre-test for both groups, an interim test given to the experimental group one week following teaching, and a post-test on the 12-week mark for both groups. There were four different types of tests: production-based narrative authoring, discrete-point translation, grammar judgment, and error repair with rule explanation.

As a result, the experimental group's ability to identify and fix errors as well as to explain those adjustments improved dramatically between the interim and post-tests, but not between the pre and interim tests. The control group did not see any appreciable progress. While there was a noticeable improvement in both groups' narrative compositions, there was no discernible improvement in the experimental group's grammar accuracy between the pre and interim examinations. The results were not significantly impacted by the number of participants. Furthermore, the first group lacked agreement, prepositions, relative clauses, verb tenses, and aspects.

In accordance with the last statement, the study's findings cannot be broadly regarded as beneficial for L2 learners' interlanguage development. One might assume that the quantity of participants had an impact on the results, and the manner in which Grammar intervention is essentially what direct instruction is. One more perhaps the lack of authority Macaro and Masterman have over the both the amount and quality of the learners' direct instruction. Their unique characteristics might also have a role.

Students were exposed to the teacher's direct explanation of the rule through direct instruction, which involved having them work. creating sentences either alone or in pairs, utilizing the phrases to extract and clarify how rules are used, assigning the students to complete the relevant assignments from Translation and usage of grammar. indirect guidance entailed creating a schema (illustrating the syntax in use, avoiding discussion of it) by providing examples, having pupils watch a relevant movie and provide a response to the questions. that they would need to employ the intended, and provide a text with the intended grammatical forms highlighted framework.

Although Wang G.H. and Wang S. (2014) found this beneficial effect, they acknowledged that the generalizability of their findings was limited since it lacked a reference point. The lack of involvement and scope might be required to look into the matter further. relationship between writing and direct instruction execution. Furthermore, they could have overlooked that Perceptions, like reflections, provide information for combining three other primary data sets. Thoughts are also tough to quantify. This research is important since it raises the the fact that direct grammatical teaching is provided in this manner Utilizing workbooks could be advantageous in overseas pedagogy of language writing. This could be a useful space for research because just a small number of studies are being carried out in writing in a second language.

Is it Effective? Measuring the Effects of Direct Instruction

The tests that can be used to examine the impacts of direct instruction should not be the primary consideration for measuring their effectiveness; criteria for choosing which measuring device should also be taken into account (White, 2016). Initially, these kinds of instruments to assess the impact of direct education are probably concentrated on the intended form or grammar of the language being spoken. Well, nevertheless, appear ambiguous as to whether specific Whether explicit or implicit, language knowledge is being quantified. They are shown in Table.

Criteria	Description
Certainty	L2 learners' certainty that their answers adhere to the target language's rules
Degree of awareness	How much the L2 students utilize the guideline or intuition to complete the exam
Focus of attention	The test require L2 learners to concentrate on fluency or accuracy
Systematicity	Whether the L2 learner responds consistently or inconsistently to the test
Metalanguage	The extent to which the test allows the L2 learners to access the metalanguage in order to respond
Time available	Whether L2 learners are tense in answering the test rapidly or have sufficient time to respond

Production can be done orally, like recalling specific sentences, or it can be done in writing, such filling in the blanks or fixing sentences with faults. Free comes in second. created form, which is the first's opposite. So, it is not regulated; this is meant to include the L2 students in jobs that require communication so they can generate the target shape in conversations that are important. It examines either understanding of the production. translating a story in L2 understanding in English, for instance, is tested by describing Images, on the other hand, quantify output. The author of this study argues that in order to effectively gather data, tests that extract both explicit and implicit knowledge must be used. overcome prejudices in outcome and treatment. Adding extra crucial focus on this aspect of direct teaching measurement There is a gap in SLA that needs to be filled.

Conclusion

As previously mentioned, the SLA field is expanding and dynamic, so there is undoubtedly a continuing need for an updated survey. Although this may come across as overly traditional and maternal, the author of this literature review sees no other intelligent approach than to regard SLA as an area of study that is still in the early stages of development. Because SLA is a complex process involving many interrelated factors, the results of various research projects conducted in the 22nd and 23rd centuries differ from one another (Ellis, 1985). The two sections above both explicitly and implicitly describe these factors, which include the kinds of tests used, the kinds of L2 learners, the proficiency levels, the backgrounds of L1 learners, the grammatical structure being taught, the amount of exposure, and the type of direct instruction. Therefore, it is crucial to emphasize that these studies on directed instruction in SLA, regardless of how they were conducted or the conclusions they reached, provide applied linguists and language specialists with useful information for furthering their research into SLA and for teaching and learning languages.

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my sincere appreciation for the opportunity to produce this article independently. This work reflects my own research and efforts in its entirety.

References

- Friedrichsen, A. (2020). Second Language Acquisition Theories and What It Means For Second Language Acquisition Theories and What It Means For Teacher Instruction Teacher Instruction, 34. Retrieved from https://nwcommons.nwciowa.edu/education_masters
- Hernandez, H. P. (2017). Review Paper Direct Instruction in Second Language Acquisition ;, 7(1), 43–56.
- Khezrlou, S. (2021). Explicit instruction through task repetition: effects on explicit and implicit knowledge development. *Language Awareness*, 30(1), 62–83. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09658416.2020.1866590>

- Ma, J. (2023). The effect of explicit instruction in SLA. *Curriculum and Teaching Methodology*, 6(4), 26–29. <https://doi.org/10.23977/curtm.2023.060406>
- Nguyen, T. T. M., Pham, T. H., & Pham, M. T. (2022). The relative effects of explicit and implicit form-focused instruction on the development of L2 pragmatic competence. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 44(4), 416–434. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2012.01.003>
- Rahman, A. M. A., & Rashid, R. A. (2017). Explicit and Implicit Grammar Instructions in Higher Learning Institutions. *English Language Teaching*, 10(10), 92. <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v10n10p92>
- Ramirez, H., & Jones, D. (2012). Effects of Direct Instruction and Corrective Feedback on Second Language Acquisition. *National Forum of Educational Administration & Supervision Journal*, 30(1), 64–87. Retrieved from <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=ehh&AN=82511133&site=ehost-live>
- Sherin, J. G. (2022). Reviewing the Effects of Explicit and Implicit Grammar Instruction in Second Language Acquisition, 1, 1–34.
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104(August), 333–339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039>
- Wang, G.H., and Wang, S. (2014). “Explicit grammar instruction for EFL writing and editing: An exploratory study at a Korean university”. *Linguistics and Literature Studies*, Vol.2, No.2, pp.65-73.
- White, W. a. . (2016). A META-ANALYSIS OF THE EFFECTS OF DIRECT INSTRUCTION IN SPECIAL EDUCATION Author (s): W . A . T . White Source : Education and Treatment of Children , Vol . 11 , No . 4 , SPECIAL ISSUE : Direct Instruction : A General Case for Teaching the General Case, 11(4), 364–374.